

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/512,267	02/24/2000	Woon-Yong Park	06192.0100	5968	
7	7590 11/18/2003	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
McGuire Woods LLP 1750 Tysons Boulevard		•	KUMAR, SRI	KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
Suite 1800	ooulevaru	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
McLean, VA	22102		2675	15	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

j L				Al
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/512,267	PARK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2675	
The MAILI	NG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
Therefore, further ac final rejection under condition for allowan	14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE ction by the applicant is required to a 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 ice; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
	PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
b) The period for no event, how ONLY CHECK 706.07(f). Extensions of time makes have been filed is the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a)	r reply expires 3 months from the mailing data reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this ever, will the statutory period for reply expire CTHIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date for purposes of determining the period of its calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the calculated. Any reply received by the Office.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
timely filed, may reduce a	any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (CFR 1.704(b).		0.0011, 0.1011
	opeal was filed on Appellant's (a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. ☐ The proposed	amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise	e new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) 🔲 they raise	e the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
• •	not deemed to place the application i appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) 🔲 they pres	sent additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: 3	See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's re	ply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
	ed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
	lavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
	or exhibit will NOT be considered bed Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
7.⊠ For purposes	of Appeal, the proposed amendmen f how the new or amended claims w	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and an
•	the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		••	
Claim(s) allow				
• • •	ected to:			
Claim(s) reje				
	drawn from consideration:			
	correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
-	thed Information Disclosure Stateme	•		
10. Other:		Heller		
		STEVEN SARAS		
	SUF	PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600		



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment will not be entered as they raise new issues. Further, the voltage differences are obvious as LCDs with gray scale have voltage differences. These voltage differences are between a common electrode and a pixel electrode, and would be another way of disclosing known pulse amplitude modulation with a split screen display.